Discrimination in the Workplace: Facts and Guidance

 An overview of the anti-discrimination statutes enforced by the EEOC

 An introduction to the theories under which claims of discrimination are brought

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII)

- Protects employees and applicants for employment from discrimination based on:
 - Race
 - Color
 - National Origin
 - Gender
 - Religion

Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA)

 Protects employees and applicants for employment from discrimination based on age

To be covered, individual must be 40 years of age or older

Rehabilitation Act of 1973

 Protects federal employees and applicants for federal employment from discrimination based on disability

 In 1992, the Rehabilitation Act was amended to apply the EEO provisions set forth in the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

Equal Pay Act of 1963

 Act requires that men and women be given equal work in the same establishment

 Positions need not be identical, but must be substantially equal

Retaliation

- An employee or applicant may allege that she has been retaliated against because she engaged in protected EEO activity under one of the aforementioned statutes
 - Opposition Implicit or explicit communication to the employer a belief that its activity constitutes a form of discrimination
 - Participation Individual has filed a complaint, testified, or participated in an investigation, proceeding, hearing, or litigation under the aforementioned anti-discrimination statutes

Theories of Discrimination

Disparate Treatment

An intentional act taken against an individual based on her membership in a group protected by Title VII, the ADEA, or the Rehabilitation Act/ADA or in retaliation for engaging in EEO activity under one of those statutes.

Disparate Treatment – Circumstantial Evidence

 Three-part test set forth in McDonnell Corp. v. Green (1973)

1. Prima facie case:

- Adverse Action
- Circumstances that support an inference of discrimination/retaliation

2. Legitimate, Nondiscriminatory Reason

 Employer has the burden of rebutting the prima facie case by articulating a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason(s) for the action alleged to be discriminatory and/or retaliatory

 Employer merely has to articulate such a reason(s), not prove that it was the actual reason

3. Pretext

 Burden shifts back to the complainant to establish that the articulated reason(s) is not credible

- The articulated reason is factually incorrect
- The reason, although factually correct, is not the true reason for the challenged action(s)
- Other evidence to suggest that the action was discriminatorily motivated

Disparate Treatment – Direct Evidence

- "Direct evidence" is an action or statement that reflects a discriminatory and/or retaliatory attitude and which correlates to the challenged act
- When a complainant presents "direct evidence," a finding of liability is automatically made against the employer
- Employer can mitigate damages by demonstrating that it would have taken the same action even absent the discriminatory consideration(s)

Theories of Discrimination - Harassment

 Unwelcome verbal or physical conduct based on one or more of an individual's protected bases under Title VII, the ADEA, or the Rehabilitation Act/ADA or on protected EEO activity under those statutes

Establishing Harassment

- Complainant must demonstrate:
 - She was subjected to unwelcome conduct based on her membership in one or more of the protected groups or based on her EEO activity
 - The harassment was sufficiently severe and pervasive to alter the conditions of her employment and create an abusive working environment
 - Employer liability

Employer Liability – Harasser is Co-Worker

 Employer is liable if it knew or should have known about the harassment and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action

Employer Liability – Harasser in Complainant's Supervisory Chain

- If harassment resulted in a tangible employment action, employer is automatically liable
- If harassment did not result in a tangible employment action, employer must meet two-part defense to avoid liability:
 - It exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any harassment, and
 - The complainant unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by the employer or to avoid harm otherwise

Theories of Discrimination – Reasonable Accommodation

- Under the Rehabilitation Act/ADA, an employer is required to provide a reasonable accommodation(s) for the known physical or mental limitations of a "qualified individual with a disability" unless to do so would cause an undue hardship.
- A reasonable accommodation is any change in the work environment or in the way things are customarily done that would enable an individual to enjoy equal employment opportunities.

"Individual With a Disability"

 Individual has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of that person's major life activities; or

Has a record of such an impairment; or

Is regarded as having such an impairment.

"Qualified Individual With a Disability"

An "individual with a disability" who:

 Satisfies the requisite skill, experience, education, and other job-related requirements of the position; and,

 Can perform the essential functions of the position with or without a reasonable accommodation(s)

Reasonable Accommodation

 Encompasses three aspects of the employment relationship:

Application process;

Job performance;

Benefits and privileges.

Examples of Accommodations

- Making facilities accessible
- Job restructuring
- Part-time or modified work schedules
- Acquiring or modifying equipment
- Providing interpreters
- Reassignment to a vacant position

Undue Hardship

Employer can avoid providing an accommodation if it demonstrates undue hardship

- General conclusions are not sufficient to demonstrate undue hardship
- Showing must be based on an individualized assessment of current circumstances showing that a specific accommodation would cause significant difficulty or expense